SW

PROPERTY OF CETON UNIVERSITY LIBRARY RECEIVED JUL 20 1939

PSYCHOLOGICAL RECORD

JULY, 1939 Vol. III No. 11

RELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT OF MEDICAL STUDENTS IN PRECLINICAL MEDICINE AND ACHIEVEMENT IN CLINICAL MEDICINE

CHARLES H. BROWN and ROBERT H. BROWN



THE PRINCIPIA PRESS, INC. BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA

Price of this number, 15 cents

EDITOR BUSINESS EDITOR

J. R. KANTOR, Indiana University C. M. LOUTTIT, Indiana University

DEPARTMENTAL EDITORS:

ABNORMAL	EDMUND S. CONKLIN, Indiana University
CHILD	HELEN KOCH, University of Chicago
CLINICAL	B. M. CASTNER, Yale University
COMPARATIVE	E. A. CULLER, University of Rochester
EDUCATIONAL	J. G. PEATMAN, City College of New York
EXPERIMENTAL	B. F. SKINNER, University of Minnesota
MEDICAL NORMAN	CAMERON, M. D., Cornell Medical College
PHYSIOLOGICAL	C. F. Scofield, University of Buffalo
PSYCHOMETRICS	J. P. Guilford, University of Nebraska
SOCIAL	NORMAN C. MEIER, University of Iowa
ASSISTANT EDITOR	J. W. CARTER, JR., Indiana University



The Principia Press, Inc., has undertaken the publication of this co-operative journal to afford authors the opportunity of immediate pub-lication at the least possible expense. The present low cost of publication, operative journal to afford authors the opportunity of immediate publication at the least possible expense. The present low cost of publication, and possible future reductions, depend entirely upon the number of subscriptions. The subscription price has been made low to induce individuals to subscribe. Under the Articles of Incorporation of the Principia Press no profit can be made on any of its publications. Therefore an increase in the number of subscribers will be reflected in reduced costs to authors and in increase in the number of pages published annually. Editorial Board. The above named board of associate editors have agreed to serve in an active capacity for a period of three years, and all manuscripts will be submitted to them.

Manuscripts may be sent to the appropriate associate editor or to Dr. J. R. Kantor. Longer papers (30 or more typewritten pages) in the above mentioned fields are especially desired.

Costs. The cost of publication will be \$2.00 per page of approximately 470 words. The charge for line cut illustrations will be \$2.00 regardless of size. Special charges will have to be made for complex tabular matter and for half-tone or colored illustrations.

Reprints. One hundred copies of each paper will be supplied gratis. Additional copies may be purchased in any quantity at a rate which will be set when the author is informed of the cost of publication.

ROYALTIES. Fifty per cent of the net income from the sale of individual copies, or from copies sold as part of back volumes, will be credited as royalties to the author's account. Royalties cannot be paid on income from subscriptions current in the year of publication.

Subscriptions. The subscription price is \$4.00 per year for an annual volume of approximately 500 pages. Individual papers will be sold at an advertised price, which will depend upon the size of the article. Foreign subscriptions will be \$4.50.

Correspondence concerning editorial matters should be addressed to Dr. C. M. Louttit, Principia Press, Inc., Bloomington, Incl.

RELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT OF MEDICAL STUDENTS IN PRECLINICAL MEDICINE AND ACHIEVEMENT IN CLINICAL MEDICINE*†

By Charles H. Brown, Rush Medical College** and ROBERT H. Brown, Clark University

There is a scarcity of data on the relation between the study of specific course material during the first two years of medical training and the more practical training in clinics and with patients during the last two years. Moon has obtained Pearson productmoment coefficients of correlation of .67 and .74 between secondand third-year grades of two classes at the University of Illinois. He says: "The fact that the correlation between the second and third year is approximately the same as that between the first and second year is surprising. It appears from this that there is no more of a break in students' records at the end of the preclinical years than between the first and second year." The size of Moon's coefficients is somewhat surprising in view of factors such as subjects of study, personality, and ability to get along with patients and internes, which operate in clinical but not in preclinical medicine. We have found a relationship between achievement in preclinical medicine and achievement in clinical medicine comparable to that found by Moon between the second and third years. The average preclinical grades, in terms of grade points obtained by 399 students at the University of Chicago, have been correlated against the average clinical grades obtained by the students in two years of clinical medicine at Rush Medical College. We have also determined the coefficient of correlation between the average grade in clinical medicine and the grade received in each of the five main departments of preclinical medicine: anatomy, bacteriology, biochemistry, pathology, and physiology.

^{*} We are grateful to Dean Emmett C. Bay of Rush Medical College for his cooperation and advice in this study.

[†] Recommended for publication by Dr. J. R. Kantor, June 8, 1939.

^{**} Now at Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan.

¹ Moon, G. R. Study of premedical and medical scholastic records of students in the University of Illinois College of Medicine. *J. Ass. Amer. Med. Coll.*, 1938, 13, 208-212.

A second problem in the achievement of students in clinical medicine at Rush Medical College involves a comparison of grades obtained by students transferring from two- and four-year medical schools. Rush Medical College is somewhat unusual in that it admits to clinical medicine a fairly large number of transfer students from schools offering only preclinical medicine. We have found that these transfer students make a significantly worse record, in general, at Rush Medical College than students spending their entire four years at the University of Chicago or transferring from four-year schools.

TABLE 1
COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN PRE-CLINICAL GRADES AND AVERAGE CLINICAL GRADES

	r	N	PE
Preclinical average	.61	399	± .02
Pathology		150	± .04
Physiology		150	± .04
Bacteriology		148	$\pm .04$
Anatomy		151	$\pm .04$
Biochemistry		148	± .04

Pearson product-moment coefficients of correlation between the average clinical grade and the preclinical average and departmental grades are given in Table 1 with the number of students and probable error for each coefficient. The coefficient of correlation between preclinical and clinical averages (.61 \pm .02) is approximately of the same order of magnitude as that obtained by Moon between second- and third-year grades and indicates a real relationship between preclinical and clinical achievement. Apparently the factors which make an individual a good student during his first two years also operate during his last two years. The relationship between grade in a specific department of preclinical medicine and average clinical grade is greatest for pathology, least for anatomy and biochemistry.

The average grades of 1,025 students entering clinical medicine at Rush Medical College from various schools in the country are listed in Table 2 according to school. Only grades obtained at Rush

TABLE 2 COMPARISON OF GRADES OF TRANSFER STUDENTS AND NON-TRANSFER STUDENTS AT RUSH MEDICAL COLLEGE

I School	Number of years of medi- cine	N	Mean grade	PE _m	CR _{PE of} difference between mean for each school and mean for school "L"	Percentage of students whose grades were equal to, or greater than, 4.15
A	2 and 4	127	3.69	± .035	10.22	20
B	2	68	3.78	$\pm .072$	4.82	25
C	2	102	3.84	± .044	5.97	22
D	2	85	3.88	$\pm .046$	5.09	29
E	2	36	3.92	$\pm .081$	2.67	36
F	2	79	3.93	$\pm .063$	3.19	38
G	2	10	4.03	$\pm .170$.22	30
H	2	17	4.04	$\pm .111$.96	29
I	4	12	4.05	± .127	.77	42
I	2	49	4.06	± .107	.99	52
K	4	35	4.08	± .093	.72	48
L	4	405	4.15	$\pm .029$.00	50

in the two clinical years and expressed in terms of grade points are considered here. The lower the number of grade points, the poorer is a student's grade. Six grade points represent the highest obtainable average. Schools at which students received their preclinical training are indicated in the first column by letters of the alphabet. Column 2 lists the number of years of medicine each school teaches; column 3 the number of students entering clinical medicine at Rush from each school; and column 4 the mean grade obtained by students from each school at Rush.

The poorest average grade (3.69) was obtained by 127 students from school "A." Many students enter and complete the first two years of medicine at "A" who cannot be kept for the clinical years.

In this respect "A" is both a two- and four-year school. In order to complete their medical training a large number of students must transfer from "A" to some other school for the last two years. The inferior record of students from "A" may very well result from transfer of the poorer students rather than from inadequate medical training.

Students from 7 of the remaining 8 two-year schools obtained lower average grades than students from 3 four-year schools. Column 6 of Table 2 lists the critical ratiopE of the difference between the mean grade for students transferring to Rush from each school and the mean grade obtained by students continuing from the University of Chicago, School "L." Of the 9 two-year schools, including "A," the difference is certain beyond reasonable doubt for 4 and fairly certain for 2. No significant difference is present between the average grades of students from 3 two-year schools and 2 four-year schools and the average grade of students continuing at Rush from "L." The last column of Table 2 gives the percentage of students from the various schools who obtained an average of 4.15 or better at Rush in clinical medicine. The average grade at Rush of students from "L" is 4.15 grade points. We note that all schools, except "J," with a percentage below 40, are two-year schools; while 3 of the 4 schools, at least 40 per cent of whose students attained an average of 4.15 or more, are schools with four vears of medicine.

Table 2 clearly indicates the scholastic inferiority, in general, at Rush of students who transfer there from schools offering only the first two years of medicine. This inferiority may result from one or more of several factors. One may be lower admission standards in schools which teach only the first two years of medicine as compared with schools teaching the entire four years. Another factor may be poorer teaching facilities in the two-year schools. Still another factor may be the greater transition a student must make when he transfers from a two-year school to a four-year school; the transition from preclinical to clinical medicine is, in general, more gradual in four-year schools. Regardless of the factors involved, the results indicate the scholastic superiority in clinical medicine of students coming from four-year schools as compared with students from two-year schools.

